1. Richmond Street Area, Sheerness – Proposed Double Yellow Lines for Corner Protection

Recommendation – Proceed with installation of Restrictions

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	No comment	No response
2	2	It is hard enough to park in my road as it is.	The proposed restrictions will enforce the Highway Codes requirements of parking near junctions.
3	3	This is a good idea in theory but is not practical because you can not provide adequate and alternative parking areas for residents. Unity Court have been told not to park commercial vehicles in the car park they pay to use, so are causing more congestion in surrounding roads.	Unfortunately, parking in this area is on a "first come, first served" basis. Due to the density of housing and the amount of residents' cars, there will always be a problem with residents being able to park near their homes. Not aware of parking regulations in Unity Court as this is private ground and not enforced by the Council. The proposed restrictions will only re-enforce what the Highway Code states.
4	4	Instead of no parking at all times, have any parking at certain times. Richmond St will start to park in side roads and I won't be able to park when I come home from work.	Double yellow lines at the junctions are necessary to keep the junction clear at all times, therefore improving visibility and safer vehicle movements.
5	5	It is hard enough parking anywhere near my house as it is and these proposed lines will run right outside my house. If these proposed lines were to go ahead we would have to park in a different road most of the time and I feel this would put my car at a greater risk of theft.	Parking close to a junction is not acceptable, if you live on a junction; unfortunately, another parking area will have to be found away from the junction.
6	6	I find it impossible to park now in Alma/Richmond Street. We have always got cars for sale parked outside our house. Will there be resident parking only allocated?	There are no current plans top introduce resident parking only in this area. Resident parking schemes will only improve daytime parking if the problem is being caused by commuters. In this case, the problems are created by to many resident vehicles in a high density housing area.

7	7	There are already double yellow lines at the Richmond Street - James Street junction, which are ignored. It would add to the major problem of parking that we already have in Jefferson Road. Ban work vans from the area and the DVLA should do a visit to the area; there must be at least 10 untaxed vehicles.	The double yellow lines at this junction have faded; therefore, vehicle users may not be aware there are restrictions there. The existing faded double yellow lines will be re-painted.
8	8	Where are the people whose properties fall within the proposed area supposed to park?	Away from the junctions as stated in the Highway Code

2. Grovehurst Avenue junction Pavillion Drive, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

<u>Recommendation – Proceed with installation of Restrictions</u>

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Proposal will make it difficult to use my drive. No 5 parks opposite, inbetween 4 and 6 there is not always room to reverse out. Suggest lines extend past No 8 so people can use their drives.	The restrictions are proposed to be installed as per the consultation. If parking problems persist then another consultation to extend the lines at a later date may take place. If vehicles are blocking the driveways residents should contact the Police
2	2	Cars travel too fast down Grovehurst Road so by stopping cars parking, it will increase the speed. Cars do not tend to park where proposed lines are. Good idea if double yellow lines were put across the entrance to the park	Cars will still be able to park on the south side of Grovehurst Avenue, therefore creating a natural traffic calming effect. There are no current plans to install restrictions across the entrance of the park.

3. Newman Drive Area, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Proceed with installation of Restrictions

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	I have no drive or parking space for me to use so I park outside my house. There have been no problems with my car being parked there. If yellow lines were to be put along Newman Drive I would have no where to park. My idea is to make a lay-by along Newman Drive for myself and my next door neighbour as we are the only two who do not have a drive or parking space. There is plenty of space to do this as there is a large section of grass that is not being used. The actual problem along Newman Drive is too many cars which do not need to be parked there such as cars for sale, vans that people decide to park there instead of their own driveway and commuters who park their cars there when they go on the bus to London. If these parked elsewhere it would only leave my neighbour and me a place to park.	There have been reported problems of vehicles exiting Donemowe Drive turning straight into oncoming vehicles coming from the Grovehurst Road roundabout. A site visit also confirmed this. Kent County Council are the Highway Authority who adjust road layouts, a lay-by cannot be designated for specific residents as it is part of the adopted highway. Residents cannot be forced to park on their driveways and there is not resident parking scheme in place to stop non-residents parking there.
2	2	It will make parking in Adisham Green bad because we have lots of cars coming and going now because of the clock tower hall.	Restrictions can unfortunately displace parking into other areas; the main reason for installing the restrictions is for safety. If another problem is created by the installation then another consultation can be progressed.
3	3	Parked cars actually slow down the traffic, double yellow lines will increase the speed. Where would Newman Drive park. Would like double yellow lines opposite Donemowe and possibly removing grass verge and install a lay-by cars actually slow down the traffic	Vehicles are a deterrent for speeding vehicles, but the restrictions will make the vehicle movements safer and there is a 30 mph speed restriction on the road that the public should be conforming with. Newman Drive residents would have to park in a suitable alternative location. Kent County Council are the Highway Authority who make alterations to the road layout.

4. Seasalter Road, Graveney – Extension to School Keep Clear Markings

Recommendation - Markings to be extended

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Should be no parking near the junction of Sandbanks Lane. People do not use car park and park irresponsibly (neither supported or objected to proposals)	The proposed extension to the existing markings has been raised following a request from the school. Will monitor the effect of the new restrictions once installed, although extending the markings should alleviate many of the problems with irresponsible parking.

5. Salters Lane/Canterbury Road, Faversham – Double Yellow Lines for Corner Protection

<u>Recommendation – Proceed with Proposed Restrictions</u>

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	It's not double yellow lines we need, but enforcement of the 30mph speed limit	Enforcement of the 30mph speed limit is down to the Police who have recently been addressing the issue of enforcement of this speed limit.
2	2	I object because it was proposed that there would be no yellow lines until discussions with residents had taken place, and an alternative place to park had been found. Neither has happened yet.	Following the discussions at the last JTB Meeting in Match 2009, it was agreed that we would proceed with the consultation for the double yellow lines on the junction for corner protection, and that further investigations would take place on the wider issues at this location, including alternative parking for residents.

6. Highsted Road, Sittingbourne - Proposed Extension to Existing Double Yellow Lines

<u>Recommendation – Proceed with Proposed Extension</u>

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	I was against the changes in your last proposal and my views have not changed. Having said that, I am frankly amazed that you are not following the guidelines in the Highway code (2007 Edition). On page 82 it states "do not stop or park opposite of within 10 metres of a junction except in authorised parking spaces". Surely you would not contravene government rules, so the removal of planned double yellow lines opposite Kestrel Close is wrong. It is cars parked here that are a significant problem to the safe flow of traffic.	The proposed extension to the existing double yellow lines following several requests from residents, and recently there was a road traffic accident at this location due to parked vehicles in the area proposed for double yellow lines. With regard to the lines previously planned opposite the junction of Kestrel Close, as we received a high number of objections from residents we were forced to amend our original proposals, hence this second consultation. The lack of waiting restrictions does not affect the regulations of the Highway Code.
2	2	We do not think that extended yellow lines will make the slightest difference to the congestion during the day. Also when the new road opens it will be a more serious problem with the increased traffic, i.e emergency vehicles	The purpose of the proposed extended double yellow lines is to improve vehicle movement around the junction of Kestrel Close. The overall issue of congestion is a far greater problem that will require a full study of vehicle movements, presumably by Kent Highway Services on completion of the new road.

7. Fairview Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

<u>Recommendation – Proceed with Proposed Restrictions</u>

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Reduce parking cars parked on pavement making it hard to use pavements. Perhaps enforcing road laws would help	The proposed restrictions will alleviate many of the problems with vehicles parking on pavements in this area, as currently many vehicles are parking part on the footway where the restrictions are proposed.
2	2	Those to the rear of the surgery are unnecessary	These restrictions are proposed to keep one side of this narrow section of road clear of parked vehicles. allowing vehicles to park on both sides of the road will leave insufficient width for vehicles to pass.
3	3	We agree people are obstructing areas but lines will make if worse for residents to park. Residents parking only 8-10am would prevent workers leaving cars for long periods which is real problem. Shoppers only stay short periods. People in road who object have garage or drive to park in	It is appreciated that the proposed restrictions will have an impact on the capacity of available parking for residents, but as problems have been reported with access along this section of road due to parked vehicles, we have proposed the minimal amount of restrictions necessary to maintain access.
4	4	Who in their right mind would want to live in a yellow band area	As stated above, the proposed restrictions have been kept to a minimum to keep access clear whilst minimising the impact on residents' parking.
5	5	Stongly object, no yellow lines. I am tired of feeling like a second rate citizen where I do. The voices are only ever listened to of those who "shout" the loudest. My objection to all the building work has been ignored. I am a student and the noise around here is having a serious	Parking will still be available on the north side of Fairview Road, and minimal restrictions have been proposed to maximise parking capacity.

		detrimental effect on my studying. The road is safe, we need more parking not less. No yellow lines.	
6	6	People who pay road tax should be able to park where they like.	It is important that road users also have safe access along the highway as well as being able to park.
7	7	Where do you propose all the parked cars go? But I have never had a problem with obstruction. Is this just a case of us changing for a few moaners?	As stated above, restrictions have been kept to a minimum. The proposals have come about through requests from residents and previous problems experienced by emergency services such as the Fire Service, who have been unable to gain access along the road when responding to a call.

8. Bellevue Road, Minster – Proposed School Keep Clear Markings

Recommendation – Proceed with Proposed Restrictions

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Both side of the road should be no parking. Times are wrong, 8:30am-9:30am okay, but 2:30pm-4:00pm.	The proposals have been progressed following concerns expressed that vehicles are currently parking part on the footway outside of the pedestrian entrance to the school, creating a hazard for parents and children walking to and from the school. There are proposals at this time to install similar restrictions on the opposite side of the road. The times of the proposed restrictions match all school keep clear markings across the Borough, and allow for after-school clubs, etc.
2	2	The proposal is likely to exacerbate the problem of parents parking their cars across our driveways. Furthermore, unless it is policed, it is	The issue of parents parking across residents' driveways is regrettably an all too common one, and the Police have powers to deal with these offenders. However, the restrictions have been

		unlikely to be observed by parents.	kept to a minimum to reduce the impact on parking capacity.
3	3	Even though I live in one of the residence involved at the age of 81, I would find the inconvenience of not being able to have access to my drive from the road between 2.30-5pm difficult. All "school run" cars are gone by 4pm.	The introduction of the school keep clear markings will not prevent residents from gaining access to their driveways, and should assist them. Again, the timings for the proposed restrictions are covered by a Borough-wide Traffic Regulation Order.

9. Lammas Gate/Abbey Street, Faversham – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

<u>Recommendation – Proceed with Proposed Restrictions</u>

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Resident for 11 years, never seen any of the problems complained of. Waste of time and money, unnecessary.	Proposals have come from request from resident who states he has witnessed emergency vehicles unable to pass this section of Abbey Street due to parked vehicles. In addition to this, resident has regularly witnessed coaches unable to gain access to coach yard at end of Abbey Street.
2	2	Instead of wasting more money on double yellow lines in our medieval street, why not re-paint the existing double yellow lines on the Abbey Street/Abbey Road junction and actually police them for offenders? Keep the paint and get an active parking warden.	Any faded double yellow lines can be re-painted by our Parking Control Team as long as the Traffic Regulation Order for them is still in place.
3	3	As there is restricted parking for residents already, I see no need to change.	The restrictions have been proposed following access problems as stated above, and are independent the residents' parking scheme.